HAPI FOR EDUCATION

Restoring Student Agency in the Age of AI, Metrics, and Institutional Compliance

A Human Agency Preservation Infrastructure Thesis Paper

Author: Michael Bower

Project: Human Agency Preservation Infrastructure (HAPI)

Version: v0.1 Working Draft

Status: Conceptual framework, not peer reviewed

Education should not merely produce compliant students. It should restore and develop agency.

Abstract

This paper applies Human Agency Preservation Infrastructure (HAPI) to education. It argues that education is not only the delivery of content, the measurement of performance, or the production of credentials. At its best, education forms agency: the human capacity to understand, choose, question, build, refuse, participate, and carry responsibility. Modern education systems often preserve the appearance of participation while weakening the substance of agency. Students attend, submit, test, comply, and advance, but may leave with reduced curiosity, fragile confidence, outsourced judgment, and dependence on institutional direction.

The rise of AI intensifies this problem. AI tutors, writing assistants, automated grading systems, learning analytics, and personalized platforms can amplify student agency when governed well. They can also become dependency machines when they replace struggle, reflection, refusal, and ownership. HAPI provides a framework for distinguishing agency-preserving education from agency theater. The paper proposes a model for auditing schools and learning platforms according to participation, capacity, judgment, authority, transparency, contestability, restorative design, and human development over time.

Core Thesis

> ***Education is agency formation. When education rewards compliance over capacity, it can preserve student presence while removing student participation.***

Keywords

Human agency, education, AI tutors, institutional compliance, learning analytics, agency theater, student participation, capacity formation, HAPI, human agency preservation.

1. Introduction

Education is one of the earliest institutional environments where human agency is shaped. A child learns not only facts, procedures, and social norms, but also what it means to ask, choose, fail, revise, resist, build, and participate. For this reason, education is not neutral. It either develops agency or trains dependence. It either strengthens the student as a participant in reality or shapes the student into an output object moving through institutional channels.

HAPI treats agency as the capacity to choose, direct action, evaluate meaning, and remain responsible for consequence. In education, this means the student is not merely a recipient of instruction. The student is a developing agent. A good educational system helps the student become more capable of learning, judging, acting, reflecting, and contributing without requiring permanent external control.

The problem is that many school systems and learning platforms are optimized for visible compliance. Attendance, test performance, assignment completion, behavior records, credential movement, and dashboard metrics can create the appearance of successful education while hiding whether students are becoming more capable. This is agency theater: the preservation of educational signals while the deeper function of agency formation is weakened.

> ***A student can be present, measured, credentialed, and compliant while still losing agency.***

2. Research Problem

The central research problem is the mismatch between educational appearance and educational agency. A system may show high participation rates, digital engagement, completed assignments, standardized performance, and administrative order while still failing to develop curiosity, judgment, self-direction, resilient effort, moral courage, and practical capacity.

This mismatch becomes more dangerous in AI-mediated education. AI can make students look more productive before they are more capable. It can generate answers, polish writing, summarize material, plan schedules, and guide study paths. Those functions can be useful when they scaffold human capacity. But if they replace ownership of thought, they can weaken the very agency education is meant to form.

The question is not simply whether AI belongs in education. The question is whether educational technology preserves or substitutes the student's agency.

3. Core Definitions

Term	Definition
Student agency	The developing capacity of a learner to understand, choose, question, build, refuse, participate, and carry responsibility.
Educational agency preservation	The design of learning environments that increase student capacity while preserving judgment, curiosity,

	responsibility, and meaningful participation.
Compliance training	An educational pattern where students learn to satisfy external requirements without internalizing purpose, understanding, or self-directed capacity.
Agency theater	A pattern where students appear engaged or successful while their real participation, judgment, or capacity is reduced.
Scaffolding	Temporary support that helps a student perform beyond current capacity while strengthening future independent capacity.
Dependency capture	A support pattern that makes the learner less able to act, think, or choose without the support system.
AI tutor	An AI system that supports instruction, feedback, study, explanation, practice, or learning planning.
Contestability	The student's ability to question, appeal, correct, refuse, or understand decisions made about their learning.

4. Education as Agency Formation

Education forms agency when it increases the student's ability to meet reality with understanding and action. A student who learns mathematics should not only be able to reproduce procedures. The student should become more able to reason through structured problems. A student who learns writing should not only produce grammatically correct text. The student should become more able to clarify thought, shape meaning, and communicate truthfully. A student who learns history should not only remember dates. The student should become more able to interpret causes, incentives, consequences, and human responsibility.

This means the true outcome of education is not merely information transfer. The true outcome is strengthened participation. Students become more able to perceive, reason, decide, build, relate, and contribute. Education is successful when the learner can carry more reality without collapsing into passivity or external dependence.

- A student develops agency when support produces stronger independent capacity.
- A student loses agency when support produces dependence, passivity, or fear of acting without approval.
- A school preserves agency when authority is used as stewardship rather than control.
- A learning platform preserves agency when it explains, scaffolds, and releases responsibility back to the learner.

5. When Schooling Becomes Compliance Training

Compliance is not always bad. Students need structure, discipline, standards, and accountability. The failure occurs when compliance becomes the highest educational function. In that condition, the student learns to ask a narrower question: what does the system want from me? The student becomes skilled at submission to external signals but weaker in judgment, curiosity, and internal direction.

This is especially visible when students learn to optimize for grades while avoiding real learning. They may ask what will be on the test, what the teacher wants to hear, how to satisfy the rubric, or how little effort is enough to pass. The institution may call this achievement, but HAPI would call it a possible agency gap.

Compliance may be necessary for order, but it is not sufficient for education.

Educational Pattern	Agency-Preserving Version	Agency-Losing Version
Discipline	Teaches self-regulation and responsibility.	Teaches fear, submission, and external dependency.
Assessment	Reveals growth, gaps, and next steps.	Becomes identity judgment, ranking, or compliance pressure.
Instruction	Builds understanding and capacity.	Produces answer-following without internalization.
Technology	Scaffolds practice and reflection.	Replaces effort, thought, and ownership.
Teacher authority	Stewards growth and protects learning conditions.	Controls behavior without restoring capacity.

6. Performance Is Not the Same as Capacity

One of the most important HAPI distinctions in education is the difference between performance and capacity. Performance is what a student can produce under current conditions. Capacity is what the student is actually able to understand, carry, repeat, adapt, and use when scaffolding changes.

A student may perform well with heavy support: hints, templates, AI-generated drafts, answer banks, flexible grading, or teacher rescue. That support may be appropriate during learning. But if the support never transfers responsibility back to the student, the performance is not evidence of mature capacity. It is evidence of supported output.

The HAPI question is not whether the student produced the artifact. The question is whether the process increased the student's future ability to act with less external dependence.

- Did the student understand the process or only submit the product?
- Can the student explain the reasoning without the tool?
- Can the student transfer the skill to a new context?
- Did feedback increase ownership or create fear?
- Did support get lighter as capacity grew?

7. AI Tutors as Agency Amplifiers or Dependency Machines

AI tutors can be powerful agency amplifiers. They can give students patient explanations, immediate feedback, adaptive practice, translation support, study planning, and low-friction help when a teacher is unavailable. For overwhelmed students, this can restore access to learning. For

underserved students, it can increase educational participation. For teachers, it can reduce repetitive load and free time for human guidance.

The risk is that AI can also become a dependency machine. If students ask the system for answers before attempting thought, if writing tools replace formulation of meaning, if explanations replace struggle, or if automated feedback replaces teacher relationship, the system may produce smoother output while reducing agency.

The purpose of an AI tutor should be to return the student stronger than it found them.

AI Use Case	Agency-Preserving Design	Agency-Capturing Design
Explaining concepts	Gives layered hints, asks questions, checks understanding.	Gives final answers too quickly.
Writing support	Helps clarify structure and argument while preserving student voice.	Writes the substance and trains imitation.
Study planning	Helps the student choose and reflect.	Controls the path without student ownership.
Feedback	Explains why something works and what to try next.	Scores or corrects without transparency.
Memory	Helps students see patterns in growth.	Profiles students without contestability or consent.

8. Metrics, Dashboards, and Educational Agency Theater

Metrics can help educators see patterns that would otherwise be hidden. But metrics can also distort education when the measured signal becomes more important than the student's real development. When a school optimizes for dashboard visibility, it may reward what is easy to count rather than what is essential to agency.

Engagement minutes, click rates, assignment completion, grades, attendance, behavior points, and proficiency scores can all be useful signals. They become dangerous when treated as substitutes for understanding. A student may click through a module without learning. A student may complete assignments with AI support without internalizing the skill. A student may maintain attendance while disengaged, anxious, or lost.

HAPI does not reject measurement. It rejects measurement that replaces participation with external scoring. The right measurement system should point back toward human reality: what did the student understand, what did they become able to do, where did they gain confidence, where did they lose voice, and where does the system need to restore agency?

9. Teacher Authority as Stewardship

Teacher authority is essential, but its purpose matters. In HAPI terms, teacher authority should function as stewardship, not domination. The teacher temporarily carries more structure, knowledge, and responsibility so the student can grow into greater capacity. The goal is not

permanent student dependence on teacher approval. The goal is increasing student participation in truth, skill, responsibility, and community.

A teacher becomes a true gate when authority protects learning conditions and governs passage according to reality: readiness, safety, maturity, honesty, and growth. A teacher becomes a false gate when authority blocks student development, demands performance without understanding, or controls access to confidence and participation through fear.

- True teacher authority protects the conditions for learning.
- False authority controls the student without increasing capacity.
- True authority explains standards and invites ownership.
- False authority hides standards and creates dependence.
- True authority can correct without humiliating.
- False authority uses correction to preserve control.

10. HAPI Audit Model for Education

A HAPI education audit evaluates whether a school, classroom, platform, or learning program preserves and restores student agency. It does not replace academic standards. It asks whether standards are functioning as agency-forming structures or compliance-producing pressures.

Audit Dimension	Primary Question
Participation	Are students meaningful participants or only measured users?
Capacity growth	Does support increase future independent ability?
Judgment	Are students asked to reason, reflect, and choose?
Contestability	Can students understand, question, and appeal decisions?
Transparency	Are metrics, AI systems, and grading standards explainable?
Refusal and voice	Can students raise concerns without punishment or dismissal?
AI scaffolding	Does AI support learning without replacing ownership?
Teacher stewardship	Does authority restore capacity or enforce passive compliance?
Maturity adaptation	Does external support lighten as students mature?
Agency outcome	Do students leave with more clarity, capacity, and responsibility?

11. Agency-Preserving Design Rules for Learning Platforms

Learning platforms should be designed to strengthen the learner, not merely optimize engagement. An agency-preserving platform does not attempt to maximize dependency, screen time, or institutional control. It helps the student understand where they are, what they are learning, why it matters, what they can do next, and how to become less dependent over time.

1. Use hints before answers. The system should scaffold effort before providing solutions.

2. Preserve student voice. Writing and creative tools should strengthen the student's expression, not replace it.
3. Make reasoning visible. Feedback should explain why, not merely score.
4. Give students contestability. Learners should be able to challenge, correct, or contextualize automated evaluations.
5. Use memory under student authority. Learning history should help the student, not trap the student in a fixed profile.
6. Reward growth, not dependency. The platform should celebrate increasing independent capacity.
7. Include reflective pauses. Faster completion is not always better learning.
8. Protect human relationships. AI should support teachers, families, and peers rather than replacing all relational learning.
9. Make supports removable. If the support cannot be reduced, it may be dependency capture.
10. Measure agency outcomes. The system should ask whether students are becoming more capable, not just more compliant.

12. Restorative Education

HAPI also reframes discipline and remediation. When a student fails, resists, disengages, cheats, or collapses, the question should not only be how to punish or move the student through the system. The deeper question is what agency function failed. Did the student lack capacity, clarity, safety, belonging, self-regulation, meaning, support, or confidence?

Restorative education does not excuse harm or remove responsibility. It restores the student's ability to carry responsibility. This distinction matters. A system that removes all consequence may weaken agency. A system that punishes without restoration may also weaken agency. A restorative system holds the student accountable while rebuilding the capacity needed to choose differently.

The goal of correction is not submission. The goal of correction is restored responsibility.

---

13. AI, Inequality, and Agency Access

AI may either widen or narrow educational agency gaps. Students with strong support networks may use AI as an accelerator, while students without guidance may use it as a substitute for learning. Wealthier schools may integrate AI with human oversight, while under-resourced schools may use automation to replace human attention. HAPI warns that unequal deployment of AI can create unequal agency outcomes.

The ethical standard should not be whether every student has access to AI tools alone. The standard should be whether every student has access to agency-preserving learning conditions: human guidance, transparent tools, contestable evaluation, safe practice, meaningful challenge, and support that increases capacity.

14. Institutional Failure Modes

Failure Mode	Description	HAPI Correction
Compliance over capacity	Students learn to satisfy external signals without internal growth.	Recenter learning around transferable understanding and student ownership.
Metric capture	Dashboards become more important than student reality.	Use metrics as signals, not substitutes for human judgment.
AI answer dependency	Students rely on AI outputs before attempting thought.	Use hint-first, explanation-first, and reflection-first design.
Opaque profiling	Students are shaped by hidden analytics.	Provide transparency, contestability, and student-accessible records.
Teacher overload	Teachers become compliance managers instead of stewards of learning.	Use AI and process redesign to restore teacher agency.
Credential theater	Students advance without meaningful capacity.	Use performance evidence, oral explanation, projects, and transfer tests.
Punitive correction	Discipline controls behavior without restoring responsibility.	Use restorative accountability tied to capacity rebuilding.

15. Implementation Pathway

A practical HAPI implementation in education should begin with a narrow audit rather than a total redesign. The first goal is to identify where the system is preserving signals while losing agency.

11. Map the learning environment: classroom, platform, school, or program.
12. Identify the main agency function: skill formation, self-direction, judgment, remediation, creativity, or preparation for work.
13. Collect evidence: policies, assignments, AI use patterns, dashboards, grading rules, student feedback, teacher feedback, and outcomes.
14. Detect agency theater: where students appear successful but are less capable, less curious, or more dependent.
15. Separate scaffolding from substitution: determine where supports increase capacity and where they replace ownership.
16. Create an agency restoration plan: adjust AI rules, feedback practices, metrics, teacher workflows, student reflection, and contestability.
17. Reassess over time: measure whether students gain clarity, capacity, participation, and responsibility.

16. Evaluation Questions

- Can students explain what they are learning and why it matters?
- Do students become more capable when support is reduced?
- Do AI tools increase student understanding or only improve output quality?

- Can students challenge grades, automated feedback, or platform classifications?
- Are teachers gaining more time for human guidance or losing agency to dashboards?
- Are metrics being used to support judgment or replace judgment?
- Do struggling students receive restoration of capacity or only correction of behavior?
- Do students leave the system more capable of choosing, building, refusing, and participating?

17. Conclusion

Education is one of the most important fields for Human Agency Preservation Infrastructure because it forms the person before that person enters work, citizenship, family life, spiritual life, and technological society. If education trains compliance without capacity, later systems inherit adults who can be easily captured by automation, bureaucracy, fear, and external authority. If education forms agency, later systems inherit people who can think, choose, question, build, refuse, and carry responsibility.

AI does not change the purpose of education. It intensifies the need to clarify that purpose. The goal is not faster submission, smoother dashboards, or more efficient credential production. The goal is stronger human beings. AI can help that mission when it scaffolds understanding, restores access, reduces overload, and returns responsibility to the learner. It harms that mission when it replaces struggle, voice, judgment, relationship, and ownership.

> ***An agency-preserving education system does not ask only, "Did the student comply?" It asks, "Did the student become more capable of truthful participation in reality?"***

Appendix A: HAPI Education Principles

18. Students are developing agents, not output objects.
19. Education should increase capacity, not only produce performance.
20. Compliance is useful only when it serves agency formation.
21. AI support should scaffold thought before providing answers.
22. Learning metrics should inform human judgment, not replace it.
23. Students should have transparency and contestability in automated systems.
24. Teacher authority should function as stewardship.
25. Correction should restore responsibility, not merely enforce submission.
26. Support should become lighter as capacity grows.
27. The final measure of education is restored and strengthened agency.

Appendix B: Short Public Statement

HAPI for Education exists to protect the core purpose of learning: the formation of human agency. In an age of AI tutors, automated grading, engagement dashboards, and institutional compliance systems, education must not reduce students to measured outputs. It must help students become

more capable of understanding, choosing, questioning, building, refusing, participating, and carrying responsibility. Technology should amplify that development, not replace it.