

# HAPI DONATION AND PUBLIC SUPPORT MODEL

## Funding Human Agency Preservation Without Capturing the Mission

A Human Agency Preservation Infrastructure Working Thesis Paper

Author: Michael Bower

Project: Human Agency Preservation Infrastructure (HAPI)

Version: v0.1

Status: Working research and organizational design manuscript, not legal or financial advice

*Core thesis: A mission that preserves human agency must fund itself in a way that also preserves agency. Donations, grants, sponsorships, and public support should strengthen HAPI without letting donors, institutions, or funders capture the mission.*

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction
  2. The Funding Problem
  3. Core Principle: Funding Must Preserve Agency
  4. Public Support as Mission Infrastructure
  5. What Donations Should Fund
  6. Donation Channels and Boundaries
  7. Anti-Capture Rules
  8. Transparency and Accountability
  9. Donor Classes Without Donor Control
  10. Community Agency Restoration Funds
  11. Research, Education, and Open Doctrine
  12. Enterprise Revenue and Public Support
  13. Donation Page Message
  14. Governance of the Support Model
  15. Risks and Failure Modes
  16. Implementation Roadmap
  17. Conclusion
- Appendix A: Public Support Principles
- Appendix B: Sample Donation Page Copy

## 1. Introduction

Human Agency Preservation Infrastructure, or HAPI, begins with a simple claim: systems should preserve and restore the human ability to understand, choose, refuse, participate, repair, and remain meaningfully responsible. This claim is not only theoretical. It requires institutions, tools, audits, education, transition programs, public trust, and durable funding.

A mission of this kind cannot depend only on personal sacrifice or occasional enthusiasm. If HAPI is to become a humanitarian movement, research foundation, public standard, and governance infrastructure company, it needs a support model that can sustain long-term work without corrupting the reason the work exists.

This paper argues that donations and public support should be treated as part of the infrastructure, not as a side feature. The way HAPI receives money should reflect the same agency-preservation principles that HAPI applies to AI systems, institutions, workplaces, healthcare, education, and public systems.

The key principle is direct: funding that preserves agency is legitimate; funding that captures the mission is not.

## 2. The Funding Problem

Every humanitarian mission faces the same pressure. The mission needs resources, but resources come from people and institutions with incentives. A donor may want influence. A sponsor may want reputation laundering. A company may want certification without correction. A grantmaker may want measurable outcomes that flatten the deeper human reality. A government agency may want public legitimacy while preserving agency-stripping procedures.

The danger is not that HAPI receives support. The danger is that HAPI becomes dependent on support that bends its judgment. A framework designed to detect false gates must not become a false gate itself.

The funding problem can be stated as a structural tension:

- HAPI needs funding to restore agency at scale.
- Funding can create dependency, pressure, and mission drift.
- Therefore, the funding model must be governed as carefully as the systems HAPI audits.

A weak funding model would allow the mission to be captured by donors, clients, politics, ideology, or institutional convenience. A strong funding model makes support possible without surrendering the core function.

## 3. Core Principle: Funding Must Preserve Agency

HAPI should not treat money as neutral. Money can expand agency or capture it. It can fund restoration, research, education, and infrastructure. It can also create dependency, silence criticism, distort priorities, and convert a public mission into private influence.

The core funding principle is:

*HAPI may receive support from people, companies, institutions, and governments only when that support does not remove HAPI's ability to tell the truth, refuse capture, publish principles, audit systems honestly, and preserve human agency.*

This principle creates a clear boundary. HAPI can be funded by many sources, but no funder should own the doctrine, the audit conclusions, the public standard, or the humanitarian purpose.

The mission must remain upstream of the money. Money is a tool for restoration, not the authority that defines restoration.

## **4. Public Support as Mission Infrastructure**

Public support should be understood as mission infrastructure. It allows HAPI to do work that is socially important but not always immediately profitable. Enterprise revenue can fund audits, software, certification, consulting, training, and implementation. Donations and grants can fund open research, public education, community programs, worker transition support, and agency restoration pilots.

This separation matters because the world needs both commercial implementation and public-good work. A company can build products and services. A foundation can protect the public mission. A public support model can help bridge the gap between what the market will pay for and what human agency requires.

The clean structure is:

- Open doctrine: the principles of agency preservation remain teachable and broadly adoptable.
- Protected trust layer: HAPI protects its name, official standards, certification labels, and quality controls.
- Commercial implementation: paid products, audits, software, consulting, training, and support keep the institution strong.
- Public support: donations, grants, sponsorships, and impact funds support humanitarian and educational work.
- Governed transparency: public reporting shows how support is used and what agency outcomes are pursued.

## **5. What Donations Should Fund**

Donations should not be a vague pool of money. They should be tied to clear mission categories. The public should understand what support makes possible, and HAPI should be able to explain the agency-restoring purpose of each category.

Suggested funding categories include:

1. Public education: plain-language resources, videos, guides, courses, and community materials about agency preservation.
2. Open research: papers, frameworks, definitions, case studies, audit models, and public standards.

3. Agency literacy: materials that help people understand consent, refusal, participation, dependency, capture, and restoration.
4. Worker transition support: AI literacy, retraining pathways, career navigation, and tools for people affected by automation.
5. Healthcare navigation support: agency-preserving materials for patients and families facing overwhelming systems.
6. Institutional agency audits for public-good contexts: schools, nonprofits, ministries, community programs, and underfunded systems.
7. Community restoration pilots: local experiments that rebuild participation, capacity, and agency in practical settings.
8. Open-source governance tools: public frameworks, templates, checklists, and technical prototypes that preserve human agency.
9. Scholarships and access support: reduced-cost access for people or organizations that need HAPI materials but cannot pay enterprise rates.
10. Movement infrastructure: website, publication, events, documentation, and operational support needed to keep the mission alive.

The rule is simple: donations should fund work that restores capacity, understanding, participation, and agency where normal markets do not yet reward that work.

## 6. Donation Channels and Boundaries

HAPI should distinguish different kinds of support so that each source has a clear boundary. Not all support should carry the same permissions, visibility, or influence.

Recommended support channels:

- Individual donations: small or recurring contributions from people who support the mission.
- Major gifts: larger donations with written non-control terms.
- Research grants: funding for public papers, education, and measurement work.
- Program grants: funding tied to specific restoration programs or pilots.
- Corporate support: sponsorship for public-good work, with strict anti-influence boundaries.
- Public-sector funding: grants or contracts for community agency restoration, workforce transition, or public education.
- Impact funds: pooled support for communities affected by automation, institutional overload, or agency-stripping systems.

The boundary is that support may fund the work, but it may not dictate the findings. A donor can choose what program to support. A donor should not control the audit conclusion, public doctrine, certification status, or internal governance of HAPI.

## 7. Anti-Capture Rules

Because HAPI exists to prevent agency capture, it needs explicit rules to prevent funder capture. These rules should be visible enough to build public trust and strong enough to guide internal decisions.

Core anti-capture rules:

11. No donor may purchase a favorable audit result.
12. No sponsor may claim HAPI certification unless the certification process was actually completed.
13. No funder may suppress research findings that are relevant to agency preservation.
14. No donor may gain control over public doctrine, audit criteria, certification standards, or mission governance through donation alone.
15. No donation should be accepted if the conditions require HAPI to ignore agency loss, hide harm, or become a reputation shield.
16. No single funder should become so structurally necessary that HAPI cannot refuse them.
17. No public-good fund should be converted into private benefit without clear reporting and mission justification.
18. No funding source should prevent HAPI from criticizing systems that strip, capture, or simulate human agency.

The most important test is this: if accepting the money would make HAPI less able to preserve agency, the money should be refused or restructured.

## 8. Transparency and Accountability

A public support model should include transparent reporting. Transparency protects trust, reduces suspicion, and makes it harder for the mission to drift quietly.

HAPI should publish a simple annual support report that includes:

- Total donations received by category.
- Major program areas funded.
- Public-good outputs produced.
- Community or institutional pilots supported.
- Research and education materials released.
- General operating support used to keep the mission functioning.
- Conflicts of interest or restricted gifts disclosed at an appropriate level.
- Refused or restructured funding categories, when disclosure is safe and appropriate.
- Agency outcomes pursued, not only dollars spent.

The report should not reduce agency restoration to vanity metrics. It should show how funds supported understanding, capacity, participation, refusal, accountability, restoration, and public infrastructure.

## 9. Donor Classes Without Donor Control

HAPI can recognize supporters without giving them control. Recognition can build community, but the structure must prevent status from becoming authority over the mission.

Possible donor classes:

- Supporter: recurring individual contributors.
- Builder: contributors funding public education and open research.
- Restoration Partner: donors supporting community agency restoration programs.
- Research Sponsor: grant or gift support for papers, case studies, and measurement tools.

- Institutional Supporter: organizations supporting public-good work under anti-capture terms.
- Founding Circle: early supporters recognized for helping establish the mission.

Recognition should not imply governance authority. A donor class can express gratitude, but it should never become a shadow board, hidden approval channel, or private gate over HAPI.

## 10. Community Agency Restoration Funds

One of the strongest public support concepts is the Community Agency Restoration Fund. This fund would support practical projects that rebuild agency where people are overwhelmed, displaced, silenced, or turned into procedural objects.

Possible uses include:

- AI workforce transition workshops.
- Agency literacy programs for families, students, workers, and communities.
- Healthcare navigation guides for patients facing complex decisions.
- Small grants for local organizations redesigning services around participation rather than compliance.
- HAPI audits for nonprofits, schools, clinics, ministries, or public systems that cannot afford commercial rates.
- Open tools that help people understand rights, options, refusals, responsibilities, and next steps.
- Public materials explaining how to avoid dependency capture by AI companions, institutions, and automation platforms.

The fund should not merely distribute aid. It should restore agency. That means it should help people regain capacity, understanding, choice, participation, and the ability to act in reality.

## 11. Research, Education, and Open Doctrine

HAPI's public doctrine should remain open enough for people to learn from it, use it, criticize it, and apply it. Donations can help keep this open layer alive.

Open doctrine includes:

- Definitions of agency, agency loss, agency capture, agency theater, restorative governance, true gates, and false gates.
- Public papers and explainers.
- Case studies and teaching materials.
- Agency audit checklists.
- Institutional design principles.
- Public policy models such as the Agentic Labor Displacement Contribution.
- Educational material for individuals, families, workers, patients, students, and communities.

The open layer gives HAPI moral legitimacy. The commercial layer gives HAPI durability. The donation layer helps keep the open layer from being starved by market incentives.

## 12. Enterprise Revenue and Public Support

HAPI should not depend only on donations. A donation-only structure could create fragility and dependency. The stronger model is mixed: enterprise revenue funds professional infrastructure, while public support funds open and humanitarian work.

Commercial revenue may include:

- Agency audits.
- Agentic labor impact assessments.
- HAPI certification services.
- Governance software.
- AAG, PGDL, Runtime Binding, and receipt-based implementation support.
- Workforce transition consulting.
- Training and executive briefings.
- Public-sector and institutional contracts.

Public support should not replace enterprise discipline. It should protect the parts of HAPI that must remain public, educational, humanitarian, and accessible.

The healthy structure is: the company earns, the foundation protects, the public supports, and the mission governs all three.

## 13. Donation Page Message

The donation page should speak clearly to ordinary people. It should not sound like a technical compliance product. It should explain the mission, the threat, the hope, and what support makes possible.

A strong donation message:

*Human agency is being quietly stripped away by automation, institutional overload, bureaucracy, and systems that preserve human presence while removing meaningful participation. HAPI exists to preserve and restore human agency in the age of AI and high-pressure systems. Your support helps fund public research, education, agency restoration tools, community pilots, and practical infrastructure that helps people understand, choose, refuse, participate, and rebuild.*

The page should also include the anti-capture promise:

*Support helps fund the mission. It does not buy control over the mission.*

## 14. Governance of the Support Model

The support model should eventually be governed by written policies. These policies do not need to be overly complex at the beginning, but the mission should grow toward formal accountability.

Recommended governance documents:

- Gift acceptance policy.
- Conflict-of-interest policy.
- Restricted funding policy.
- Donor recognition policy.

- Public reporting policy.
- Certification independence policy.
- Audit integrity policy.
- Foundation and company separation policy.
- Open doctrine and intellectual property policy.
- Mission preservation charter.

The purpose of these documents is not bureaucracy for its own sake. The purpose is to prevent HAPI from becoming the kind of institution it was created to diagnose.

## 15. Risks and Failure Modes

The public support model has predictable risks. Naming them early protects the mission.

Failure Mode	Description
<b>Donor capture</b>	A funder gains informal control over priorities, public messaging, or conclusions.
<b>Reputation laundering</b>	An organization uses support for HAPI to appear agency-preserving without changing harmful systems.
<b>Mission drift</b>	Funding pulls HAPI toward fashionable metrics instead of real agency restoration.
<b>Dependency</b>	HAPI becomes unable to refuse a major funder because the mission depends on them financially.
<b>Certification pressure</b>	Sponsors or clients expect favorable treatment because they support the mission.
<b>Public distrust</b>	People suspect the mission is controlled by hidden donors or enterprise clients.
<b>Overhead shame</b>	The organization underfunds operations because it feels guilty using donations to sustain the work.
<b>Symbolic giving</b>	Funds support visible campaigns but not real restoration, education, or infrastructure.

Each failure mode has the same basic remedy: clear boundaries, public reporting, diversified support, internal refusal capacity, and mission-first governance.

## 16. Implementation Roadmap

The donation and support model can start simply and mature over time.

19. Publish the HAPI Manifesto and public mission statement.
20. Create a simple donation page with clear categories and anti-capture language.
21. Separate general support from program-specific support.
22. Publish a plain-language explanation of what donations fund.
23. Create a basic gift acceptance and donor recognition policy.
24. Track support categories and public-good outputs from the beginning.
25. Create annual public support reports once activity is meaningful enough to report.
26. Build the foundation and company separation as legal and operational capacity grows.

27. Add community agency restoration funds and pilot programs.
28. Use public support to keep open doctrine, education, and humanitarian work alive while the company builds durable infrastructure.

The first version does not need to be perfect. It needs to be honest, clear, and governed by the principle that the mission cannot be bought.

## 17. Conclusion

HAPI requires funding because preserving human agency is not only an idea. It is research, education, software, audit work, community restoration, workforce transition, healthcare navigation, public policy, institutional repair, and movement-building. These require real resources.

But HAPI also requires protection from the very forces that often capture humanitarian missions. Money can help restore agency, or it can become a hidden gate over the mission. The difference is governance.

A strong donation and public support model allows people to contribute to HAPI without owning HAPI. It allows companies and institutions to support public-good work without buying legitimacy. It allows the mission to grow without surrendering its center.

The final principle is simple:

*HAPI should be funded by support, not controlled by support. The mission is to preserve human agency, and the funding model must preserve that agency too.*

## Appendix A: Public Support Principles

29. Human agency preservation is the mission.
30. Funding serves the mission; it does not define the mission.
31. Donations may support work but may not purchase conclusions.
32. Public doctrine should remain teachable, open, and broadly adoptable.
33. The HAPI name, standards, certification marks, and audit integrity should be protected.
34. Public-good funds should support agency restoration, research, education, and access.
35. Enterprise revenue should build durable infrastructure without starving the humanitarian layer.
36. Transparency should show how support strengthens agency.
37. No funder should become a false gate over HAPI.
38. The mission must retain the ability to refuse money that would capture it.

## Appendix B: Sample Donation Page Copy

### Preserve Human Agency

HAPI exists to preserve and restore human agency in the age of AI, automation, and institutional overload. We believe people should not be reduced to rubber stamps, procedural objects, data points, or passive recipients of systems they cannot understand, refuse, or contest.

Your support helps fund public research, education, agency literacy, open frameworks, community restoration pilots, and practical tools that help people understand, choose, refuse, participate, and rebuild.

What your support can fund:

- Public HAPI papers and educational resources.
- Agency literacy guides for workers, patients, families, and communities.
- Open tools for agency audits and institutional repair.
- Community agency restoration pilots.
- AI displacement and transition resources.
- Healthcare navigation and decision-support materials.
- Movement infrastructure that keeps the mission alive.

Our promise: support helps fund the mission. It does not buy control over the mission. HAPI exists to preserve human agency, and that includes protecting the mission from donor capture.

## References and Related HAPI Foundation Papers

Bower, Michael. HAPI Manifesto: Preserving Human Agency in the Age of AI, Automation, and Institutional Overload. Working thesis draft.

Bower, Michael. HAPI Business and Foundation Model: Building an Agency-Preservation Institution Without Capturing the Mission. Working thesis draft.

Bower, Michael. HAPI Implementation Guide: From Agency Audit to Restoration, Governance, and Infrastructure. Working thesis draft.

Bower, Michael. The Agentic Labor Displacement Contribution: A Human Agency Preservation Model for the Age of AI Agents. Working thesis draft.

Bower, Michael. The True Gate: Alignment Theory, Human Agency Infrastructure, and Runtime Governance for Agentic AI. Working thesis draft.